

How Does a

THIN-FILM PV SYSTEM

Affect Cooling Loads on a Building?

BY SCOTT KRINER

ABSTRACT

Using calculated cooling loads and calculated energy generated by a photovoltaic (PV) system, it was determined that the additional cooling load caused by a thin-film PV surface requires no more than 2.5% of the electricity generated by the PV system itself. The added cooling load is due to the lower solar reflectance (SR) of the PV surface itself. The minimal penalty in added electricity needed for the higher cooling load is based on a newly constructed building with code-compliant insulation and new air conditioning units with high Coefficient of Performance (COP) values.

In a renovated building with a retrofit roof, lower insulation values will be encountered, and a less efficient air conditioning unit will be in place. Under those conditions, even in the hottest part of the country, with low insulation and poor air conditioning COP values, less than 20% of the electricity generated

by the thin-film PV unit is required to compensate for the higher cooling load placed on the building.

Based on these calculations, a thin-film PV system can generate significantly more than enough electricity for air conditioning related to the higher cooling load and can provide additional electricity for other uses in the building.

Why Are PVs Gaining in Popularity?

When President Bush signed the Energy Independence and Security Act in December 2007, the federal government authorized the formation of the Zero Net Energy Commercial Buildings Initiative. That program involves an alliance of industrial, academic, and governmental representatives working to transform energy per-



formance in commercial buildings. In order to achieve zero energy, a building must be designed with optimum efficiencies and energy conservation measures in place to reduce the energy demand as much as possible. The remaining energy needs would then be provided on site using renewable energy sources.

Photovoltaic (PV) technology is one of the more popular renewable forms of energy because of society's interest in the impact on the environment and the rising cost of fossil-fuel-based energy sources. Of course, tax and financial incentives to use PV systems are helping in many states. Also, the technological improvements, production efficiency improvements, and simple economies of scale are making PVs more attractive. Third- and fourth-generation PV systems are under development to be even more energy efficient, economical, and practical.

The California Public Utility Commission recently announced a challenge to have builders construct all-new commercial structures as net zero energy by 2030. Other states, such as Massachusetts, Nevada, New Jersey, and New Mexico, have passed legislation or are seriously considering legislation that would require the construction of net zero-energy buildings. The use of thin-film, building-integrated PV systems will play an important part of any zero energy building initiative.

What Are PVs?

PV roof systems take advantage of a renewable energy source for converting sunlight into electricity. The generation of electricity from PV technology is possible through the interaction of sunlight with certain "doped" semiconductor materials. Electrons are released from these materials, resulting in a current. That direct current is then converted to an alternating current with an inverter and provides electricity to power the building. The most prevalent material used in the production of PV arrays is silicon. The basic building block of PV technology is the solar "cell."¹

There are two primary types of cells within silicon-based PV systems: crystalline (mono and poly) and amorphous. Crystalline silicon PV systems currently represent 80% of the market. They typically use 20 kg of silicon per 1 kW of PV. A sunlight-to-electricity conversion efficiency of 15-20% is typical.² However, the high cost (energy and material) to refine and purify crystalline silicon and the expensive and

How is the PV ENERGY OUTPUT vs. COOLING LOAD Calculated?

The DOE Low-Slope Cool Roof Calculator⁶ can be used to evaluate the impact of the "darker," thin-film amorphous silicon PV systems on the heat gain into a building. The calculator allows one to compare the cooling energy and cooling loads of a building with a roof of interest to that of a building with a black roof as the reference in any location.

To perform the calculations, the SR and thermal emittance of the roof surface, an R-value of insulation, and the COP of an air conditioning unit are needed for any location selected. Newly built structures would comply with the 2006 IECC code, which specifies higher R-values of roof insulation entirely above deck, and higher efficiency of new air conditioning units. Since the radiant properties of a roof can change over time, it is realistic to use aged values of SR and thermal emittance when performing these calculations.

All calculations of the cooling load are based on a comparison against a black roof. Once the calculation is made for a white reflective roof and a darker (thin-film, PV-covered) roof, the differences between the two roofs can be determined.⁷

The calculations are based on the assumption that the roof properties pertain to 100% of the roof surface area. However, in reality, a roof with laminated thin-film PV modules is never fully covered. For example, the size of an individual UNI-SOLAR[®] panel made by United Solar Ovonic is 18 ft long x 15.5 in wide, each rated at 136 Watts. If we use a 100,000 ft² roof, measuring 80 ft x 1250 ft, it would allow for 937 rows of PV panels laminated within the 16-in width of a standing seam metal roof pan. Four panels would run from the eave to ridge and down again to the other eave (72 ft in total length). With that layout, a total of 3,748 panels would be installed, each 23.25 ft² in area, and generating 510 kW. (3,748 panels x 136 watts/panel). That would yield a total PV surface area of 87,141 ft², compared to the total roof surface area of 100,000 ft² or an 87% coverage factor.

The calculation must be modified to take into account the fact that the thin-film PV cooling load applies to only 87% of the roof surface, and the cool white roof's effect applies to the remaining 13% of the surface. The result is an effective cooling load of the PV roof.

To determine the extra cooling load that the thin-film, PV-laminated roof creates as compared to a white cool roof, we must subtract the effective cooling load of the PV-covered roof from the scenario of the white roof that would cover 100% of the surface. In essence, this value becomes the cooling load penalty attributed to the thin-film, PV-laminated product on the white roof.

The actual energy yields (kWh - AC) of PV systems cannot be determined strictly on the nominal (kW - DC) rated power of a module. In addition, under outdoor conditions, the irradiance and ambient temperatures are constantly changing.⁵ Even with the best solar-power systems modeling, utilizing location-specific (historical), 30-year NREL climate data, some variation in predicted output will occur.

To determine the energy yield from a PV system, a calculator developed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory is available for calculating the energy produced by a PV system in any location on a monthly basis.⁸ The input parameters include the DC rating of the PV unit, the DC-to-AC derate factor, the type of array, the array tilt, and the array azimuth. Using Version 1 of this calculator provides estimates of monthly and annual energy generated by a thin-film PV system in select cities. To calculate the actual energy generated by the PV module, an assumed roof size of 100,000 ft² is used. With the assumptions and values used for the scenarios, the Version 1 calculator yields the annual energy being generated by a PV system in that location.



SOLAR RADIATION AND AC ENERGY Houston, TX

Month	Solar Radiation (kWh/m ² /day)	AC Energy (kWh)
January	3.11	35,824
February	3.70	38,203
March	4.56	51,687
April	5.06	54,524
May	5.62	61,218
June	6.06	62,802
July	5.86	62,140
August	5.62	60,138
September	5.18	54,364
October	4.66	51,013
November	3.65	39,421
December	2.79	31,704
YEAR	4.66	603,038

For a 100,000 ft² low-slope roof
Assuming the PV's DC rating is 5.1 kW/1000 ft²

With the energy being generated by the PV unit now known for the 100,000 ft² assumed roof size, the total cooling load for that same size roof must be calculated. Once that total cooling load is determined, it becomes simple to determine what percentage of the total energy from the PV unit must be used to condition the added cooling load energy (the penalty). This value can be expressed as a percentage of the total energy generated by the PV system.



RCI Foundation Mission

To support research, education, and the dissemination of information for issues important to the industry.

800-828-1902

www.rcifoundation.org

complex process to turn silicon wafers into PV cells pose serious problems to be cost competitive with various thin-film chemistries, which use far less material and energy to create. On top of that, thin-film PV system technology is advancing to the point where the peak-Watts power rating is approaching that of crystalline silicon systems.

Conventional crystalline silicon PV cells are connected to form a PV module, and many modules are linked together to form a PV array. The modules consist of an assembly of silicon wafers sandwiched between two layers of glass. These panels are relatively heavy but can be mounted to metal roofing with a special fastening device that does not penetrate the roof surface. A typical 4-in silicon solar cell can produce about one watt of direct current electricity.¹

Alternatives to crystalline silicon PV modules are thin-film amorphous silicon PV laminates. These flexible PV laminates are typically 0.12-in thick and are flexible because they are deposited onto a coiled metal foil. Specifically, amorphous silicon products are produced by depositing films of doped silicon-germanium alloys to a thin sheet of stainless steel and then encapsulating them with a strong and flexible but highly light-transmissive polymer top layer. The PV "sheets" of material are then laminated to the flat pan surface of a standing-seam metal roof panel.

In general, thin-film, amorphous, silicon-laminated PVs reflect about 26% of incoming solar energy (i.e., SR = 0.26). Only about 6.5% of the total solar energy that strikes the surface is converted into electricity. Since the converted energy is not absorbed, it can be considered part of an "effective solar reflectance" of 32.5% (SRE = 0.325) In other words, from a thermal perspective, a thin-film PV system is similar to a cool roof surface with SR of approximately 0.30. That level of SR can be achieved with many commercially available cool paint systems used on metal roofing surfaces.³

Questions Remain

Building owners recognize that a white reflective roof can significantly reduce the cooling load placed on a commercial building by reducing the solar heat gain. However, if a thin-film PV system, which is typically darker in color, is installed on such a roof, the question arises, "Will the building suffer a serious penalty in cooling load, even though electrical energy is being generated by the thin-film PV material itself?"

When a thin-film amorphous silicon PV system is installed on such a roof, 85% or more of the roof surface may be covered with a product that can have a lower SR than the roof surface. A lower reflectance value suggests that it will cause a higher solar heat gain and create a "penalty" in the cooling load of an otherwise cooler roof. However, one must consider the fact that any penalty due to just the difference in SR may be offset by the PV's conversion of solar

SUMMARY OF CALCULATIONS FOR THIN-FILM PV COOLING LOAD VS. COOL ROOF LOADS

City	ASHRAE Climate Zone	2006 IECC Above-Deck Insulation	For 100,000 ft ² roof surface		
			Extra Annual Cooling Load from Thin-Film PV (kWh)	Annual PV Energy Generated (kWh)	% of PV Energy Used to Compensate for Cooling Load Penalty
Miami	1	R-15	16,600	664,716	2.5%
Houston	2	R-15	13,100	603,038	2.2%
Phoenix	2	R-15	18,000	775,105	2.3%
Charleston	3	R-15	11,500	644,200	1.8%
Los Angeles	3	R-15	4,300	709,351	0.6%
San Francisco	3	R-15	700	693,585	0.1%
St. Louis	4	R-15	9,000	604,301	1.5%
Chicago	5	R-20	3,800	564,717	0.7%
Minneapolis	6	R-20	3,400	587,153	0.6%

energy into electricity that can be used to condition the added heat gain.

What Affects the Power Generation of PV Systems?

The actual net power balance generated by an installed PV system is affected by the overall integrity of the roof, the size and efficiency of the PV system, the local climate, and the wind conditions.

Crystalline PV cells typically have a higher peak-Watts power rating at room temperature when compared to thin-film PV technologies. This may lead one to assume that the crystalline silicon will yield more power than thin-film PV. However, thin-film (amorphous silicon) PV cells offer outstanding power generation characteristics at high temperatures in comparison to crystalline PV cells, which lose power production twice as fast per degree of temperature.

Amorphous silicon layers in a multi-junction cell are doped to absorb red, green, or blue light and are layered accordingly in the cell. As a result, the inclination angle has a significantly smaller effect on the generated output of thin-film PV cells than that of crystalline silicon PV panels. As a result, thin-film PV can generate more power over

more hours per day, resulting in higher power output per annum than crystalline PV modules of identically rated peak output.^{4,5}

Compared to crystalline PV systems, multijunction, thin-film, amorphous silicon PV cells collect sunlight more efficiently during low-light or diffuse conditions in which light intensity is too low to activate crystalline PV conduction. In the morning and late afternoon hours, diffuse light can dominate the available solar irradiance. During cloudy conditions, diffuse light is also the main form of irradiance. In some northern climates, the majority of the solar irradiance is from diffuse lighting. Since thin-film PV systems produce energy under lower light levels than crystalline silicon can, and because they are efficient for greater amounts of time under a wider available spectrum of light, they generate more power per installed peak-Watt (DC).

How Much Excess Electrical Energy Can a PV System Generate After Additional Cooling?

With the Department of Energy's Low-Slope Roof Calculator and the PV Watts calculator⁹ from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, a cooling load penalty

and PV energy can be calculated for different cities across the nation. These calculations show that there is in fact an added cooling load when a dark, thin-film PV system is laminated to an otherwise cool reflective roof surface.

Using the process described in the sidebar on page 7, calculations for thin-film PV systems installed in various locations and different climate zones are summarized in the table above.⁸ The results show that in all of the practical examples for new construction, less than 2.5% of the energy generated by the thin-film PV modules was needed to compensate for the added cooling load. The high R-value insulation required by code and the cool roof surface covering 13% of the roof surface area help to minimize the heat gain from the darker, thin-film PV surface. The high COP of 3.0 for new air conditioning units also helps to significantly reduce the cooling energy load of new buildings. This means a typical net gain of 97-98% of the PV-produced electricity, for modern construction, after considering the additional cooling load.

The energy generated by the PV system is more than enough to provide the electricity to offset the added cooling load from the



lower SR of the PV module, compared to a cool white surface and the resulting higher cooling load. This suggests that a building-integrated, thin-film PV system can generate a net positive flow of electricity to power air conditioners and other energy loads in new commercial low-sloped roofed buildings.


Taking this to the extreme or worst-case scenario and using the procedure described above, a very low insulated building with R-5 and a low COP air conditioner located in an intense solar radiance location (Phoenix) suggested that about 20% of the energy generated by the thin-film PV modules would be required to compensate for the added cooling load from the penalty of the dark surface of the PV product.

Note that the calculations that were performed in this study focused only on the annual cooling loads determined by the DOE Low-Slope Roof Calculator. In colder climates, the darker surface of the thin-film laminates may be beneficial in lowering the overall annual combined cooling/heating energy savings.

CONCLUSIONS

For newly constructed buildings, less than 2.5% of the energy generated by thin-film PV modules is needed to compensate for the added cooling load caused by the darker PV product's surface. Even in the worst-case scenario representing an older building with a retrofit roof, less than 20% of the energy generated by the thin-film PV modules would be needed to offset the added cooling load.

The level of roof insulation has a significant impact on the effective roof cooling load and the cooling load penalty from the thin-film PV system. Other variables, such as wind speed and direction and solar irradiance, can complicate the evaluation of the PV energy needed to offset the higher cooling load.

The effective SR and thermal emittance values of modern thin-film PV modules are similar to those of other steep-slope cool metal roof surfaces. Installing a thin-film PV module on a cool metal roof is prudent to minimize the heat gain from those areas of the roof that are not covered with PV modules. 



RCI, Inc.
800-828-1902
www.rci-online.org

BUILDING ENVELOPE KNOWLEDGE ASSESSMENT

Test your knowledge of building envelope consulting with the following questions developed by Donald E. Bush, Sr., RRC, FRCI, PE, chairman of RCI's RRC Examination Development Subcommittee.

1. **What components of a roof make up its dead load?**
2. **What is a roof's live load?**
3. **What is the minimum number of roof drains or scuppers required per roof?**
4. **When using drains with a diameter of less than 6 in or scuppers less than 8-in width, what is the minimum number of drains or scuppers required per 10,000 sq ft?**
5. **When using roof drains that are 6 in or greater in diameter, what would be the required minimum number of drains on a 30,000-sq-ft roof?**
6. **What should be the minimum rainfall intensity used to determine roof drainage design provisions?**

Answers on page 12

BUILDING ENVELOPE KNOWLEDGE ASSESSMENT

Answers to questions from page 11:

1. **Permanent or fixed components, including supporting members, deck, insulation, roof covering, gravel, and suspended or supported ceilings or equipment.**
2. **The weight allowance for temporary or movable loads, such as rain, snow, construction materials, equipment, and workers.**
3. **Two.**
4. **One drain per 10,000 sq ft of roof area.**
5. **Two drains: one per 15,000 sq ft of roof area.**
6. **A rainfall intensity of at least a one-hour event with a 100-year mean recurrence interval (MRI). Rainfall intensity is expressed in inches or millimeters per hour.**

REFERENCE:

FM Global Loss Prevention Data Sheet 1-54

REFERENCES

1. I. Melody, *Photovoltaics: A Question and Answer Primer*, Florida Solar Energy Center, Publication Number FSEC-EN-11-83, February 1985.
2. T. Parker and G. Moine, "Amorphous Silicon and Crystalline Modules: Similarities and Differences," Powerpoint information from UNI-SOLAR.
3. W.A. Miller, A.O. Desjarlais, S. Kriner, "The Thermal Performance of Painted and Unpainted Standing Seam Metal Roof Systems Exposed to Two Years of Weathering," presented at Thermal Performance of the Exterior Envelopes of Whole Buildings VIII, Clearwater, FL, December 2001.
4. Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., "Photovoltaic Power Generation Utilizing Renewable Energy Available in Unlimited Supply," www.mhi.co.jp/env/csr/csr04_e.html.
5. J.A. Eikelboom and M.J. Jansen, *Characterisation of PV Modules of New Generations*, ECN-C-00-067, June 2000.
6. Department of Energy, Cool Roof Calculator, www.ornl.gov/sci/roofs+walls/facts/CoolCalcEnergy.htm.
7. M. Thimons and S. Kriner, "Thin-Film Photovoltaics and Their Impact on a Commercial Building's Cooling Load," *Architectural West*, January/February 2009, p. 18-23.
8. National Renewable Energy Laboratory, PV Watts Calculator, www.pvwatts.org.

Scott Kriner

Scott Kriner is president of Green Metal Consulting, Inc. and serves the Metal Construction Association (MCA) as technical director. He is also a consultant for manufacturers and suppliers of metal roofing and wall systems. Scott is technical editor for *EcoStructure* magazine and is an accredited LEED Professional. Prior to establishing his consulting firm, he served as technical marketing manager of building products for Akzo-Nobel Coatings, Inc. He started his career with Bethlehem Steel in the coated steel research department at Homer Laboratories. Kriner has more than 27 years' experience in the domestic and international metal and coatings industry and has held numerous positions of responsibility on the board of directors of the NCCA and MCA, was chairman of the Cool Roof Ratings Council's Weathering Farm Task Group, and chairman of the Zinc and Aluminum Coaters Association. He is the founding chairman of the Cool Metal Roofing Coalition, which was formed in 2002. Scott also serves on the Advocacy Committee of the Lehigh Valley Branch of the Delaware Valley Green Building Council. Scott has bachelor's and master's degrees in metallurgy and materials engineering from Lehigh University. He holds a patent of improvement on 55% Al-Zn alloy-coated steel.

